

Quantitative Analysis of EtG and EtS in Urine Using Clean Screen[®] ETG and LC-MS/MS

UCT Part Numbers:

August 2015

1. Prepare Sample

To 200 $_{\mu}L$ of urine sample with 5% formic acid add appropriate deuterated analogues of EtG/EtS.

Vortex for 30 seconds.

2. Condition Clean Screen[®]ETG Extraction Column

1 x 2 mL MEOH containing 1% formic acid.

1 x 2 mL D.I. H_2O containing 1% formic acid.

Note: Aspirate at < 3 inches Hg to prevent sorbent from drying out.

3. APPLY SAMPLE:

Load sample at 1-2 mL / minute.

4. Dry Column

10 minutes at full vacuum or pressure.

5. Elute EtG/EtS:

1 x 2 mL MEOH containing 1% formic acid. Collect eluate at 1-2 mL /minute.

6. Evaporate/Reconstitute:

Evaporate eluate under a gentle stream of nitrogen < 40° C. Dissolve the residue in 200 μ of D.I. H₂O.

LC-MS/MS method:

6.0

Instrument: Agilent 1200 Binary Pump SL						
Detector: AB Sciex API 4000 Q Trap MS/MS						
Column: UCT Selectra® ETG HPLC column, 100 x 2.1 mm, 3 µm						
Guard Column: UCT Selectra® ETG, 10 x 2.0 mm, 3 µm						
Column Temperature: 30 ° C						
Column Flow Rate: 0.3 mL/min						
Injection Volume: 10 µL						
Gradient Program:						
Time (min)	% Mobile Phase A	% Mobile Phase B				
	(0.1% Formic Acid in water)	(0.1% Formic Acid in ACN)				
0	100	0				
1.5	100	0				
1.7	0	100				
2.7	0	100				
3.0	100	0				

0



100

MRM transitions (ESI ⁻ , 50 ms dwell time)						
Compound	Rt (min)	Q1 ion	Q3 ion 1	Q3 ion 2		
EtS-D5	1.28	130.1	97.8	79.7		
EtS	1.31	125.1	95.8	96.9		
EtG-D5	1.66	226.1	85.1	74.9		
EtG	1.69	220.9	85.1	75.1		

Results:

Excellent recoveries were achieved with EtG at 96% and EtS at 98.3%.The extraction efficiency was evaluated by fortifying samples at two concentrations (250 ng/mL and 2500 ng/mL). RSD values were less than 5.3% (n= 4 at each concentration).

Commonwell	Spiked at 250 ng/mL		Spiked at 2500 ng/mL	
Compound	Recovery%	RSD% (n= 4)	Recovery%	RSD% (n= 4)
EtG	96.0	4.8	102.9	4.4
EtS	98.3	6.5	109.6	3.9
Overall mean	97.15	5.65	106.25	4.15

Recovery and RSD% from Urine Spiked at 2 Levels

Discussion:

Upon re-evaluation of UCT's original EtG extraction method utilizing Clean Screen[®] ETG columns, it was noted that the previously employed aqueous wash step resulted in significant loss of both EtG and EtS. Also, it was discovered that there was significant sample breakthrough on the carbon-based extraction column using 0.5 mL of sample or higher due to a lack of sufficient capacity. As a result, the method was modified using decreased sample volume as to not overload the column and without the use of the aqueous wash step. Surprisingly, the cleanliness of the extract was not compromised and excellent recoveries were achieved.